UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/501,166	07/12/2004	Albert Maria Arnold Rijkaert	2069.055US1	5382
	7590 11/18/201 I, LUNDBERG & WO	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 2938		TESLOVICH, TAMARA		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2437		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/18/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspto@slwip.com request@slwip.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/501,166	RIJKAERT ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Tamara Teslovich	2437	

	Tamara Toolovion	2407
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 28 October 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOI	R ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	it, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount chortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, k (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed)	nsideration and/or search (see NO	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially red	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		,
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate,	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attached.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application ir	n condition for allowance because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
/Emmanuel L. Moise/	/Tamara Teslovich/	
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2437	Examiner, Art Unit 2437	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's remarks have been fully considered but are not persuasive. In response to Applicant's first set of remarks concerning Chen's alleged failure to teach or suggest "the range [having] a starting point... prior to a time value correponding to when the [EMM] is sent" the Examiner would like to point out that that it is Applicant that is limiting the Examiner's citations to the "callback time" disclosed by Chen and not the Examiner. The Chen reference discloses EMMs containing periodical keys (par 14) whereby the keys allow for content encrypted in the past to be viewed based on retrofitted keys which allow access for a particular period of time. Applican't's characterization of the reference rules out the actual basis for Chen's invention - namely the ability to access content previously encrypted and transmitted and/or stored. The Examiner would like to draw attention to paragraph 84 which provides additional support for the Examiner's interpretation, namely the use of time stamps and periodic keys to access information up and until a particular period of time. Applicant goes on to limit the invention of Chen unnecessarily, referring tme and time again to the same portions concerning the callback time while ignoring those portions fo the reference directed to the use of timestamps, ranges, and periodical keys directed at allowing an individual access to content for a particular period of time, content previously encrypted and transmitted.